

~~TOP SECRET~~

Handle Via
TALENT-KEYHOLE
Control System Only

20 December 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Holders of SDWG-D-26/5

SUBJECT: Clarification of COMIREX-D-2.7/5 for
British

The TCO in London has requested that we make the following changes in the cable quoted in SDWG-D-26/5:

a. In paragraph 1.b. (2), the second sentence should read "However, launch sites or positions within the launch area may not repeat not be shown,".

b. In paragraph 1.b. (4), line 4 should read "for weapons application repeat application purposes, . . .".



Chairman

Sanitization and Decontrol Working Group

- Copies 2, 3 State TCO
- 4 DIA TCO (Mr. Hughes)
- 5, 6, 7, 8 DIA TCO
- 9, 10 OACSI TCO
- 11, 12 ONI TCO
- 13, 14 AFNIN TCO
- 15, 16 NSA TCO
- 17, 18, 19 NRO TCO

TCS-5287-68/1

~~WORKING COPY~~
Copy 1 of 59

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and declassification

~~TOP SECRET~~

Handle Via
TALENT-KEYHOLE
Control System Only

Handle Via
TALENT-KEYHOLE
Control System Only

~~TOP SECRET~~

SDWG-D-26/5

25 November 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Sanitization and Decontrol Working
Group

SUBJECT: Clarification of COMIREX-D-2.7/5 for
British

1. The following provides the gist of a cable received from London requesting clarification of some of the instructions contained in COMIREX-D-2.7/5. The TCO in London explains that the British are interested in providing as much information to operational forces as is permissible.

a. Part II, paragraph V (SAM Facility TTI's) indicates security considerations prohibit the portrayal of most objects less than 15 x 15 feet. Paragraph V A. indicates an installation may be delimited by cartographic annotations if it contains 62500 square feet or more. SAM facilities in Poland and the Baltic area of USSR, for which U.K. has production responsibility, exceed these minimum dimensions. Furthermore, collateral is available albeit not in sufficient detail to permit cartographic annotation without the use of T-KH. Paragraph V C. indicates however, that only a symbol may be used to distinguish a SAM "site", but continues on in paragraph V C. 2 to indicate that building symbols may be used to identify support buildings presumably within the "site" symbol.

b. Our interpretation of the provisions cited above is as follows:

TCS-5287-68
Copy 17 of 59

WORKING PAPERS

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and declassification

~~TOP SECRET~~

Handle Via
TALENT-KEYHOLE
Control System Only

811 25 013

Handle Via
TALENT-KEYHOLE
Control System Only

~~TOP SECRET~~

SDWG-D-26/5

(1) A SAM facility may be outlined and this outline may generally equate to the security fence surrounding the facility, especially for those installations on which attache or refugee reports exist.

(2) Subdivisions, such as the support/administration area and the missile launch area, if exceeding 62,500 square feet, may also be annotated by a dotted line. However, launch sites or positions within the launch area may be shown, but a circle or a Star of David design encompassing the launch positions would be an acceptable symbol.

(3) Within the support area subdivision, symbols could also be used, with the number of building symbols equating to the actual number of buildings of 15 x 15 feet dimension or greater, as well as the actual location of the building.

(4) The textual annotations could relate directly to the cartographic annotation and a statement as to the general dimensions of the facility and its subdivisions, for weapons purposes, would be permissible as well as the data cited in paragraph VI 14.

(5) In regard to TTI's produced for NATO release, only a symbol encompassing the entire SAM facility could be used for cartographic annotation. The textual annotation could include description by type only if there is no releasable collateral information available to supplement the text.

~~TOP SECRET~~

Handle Via
TALENT-KEYHOLE
Control System Only

~~TOP SECRET~~

SDWG-D-26/5

(6) The following question is also posed: Is it permissible to indicate (a) the location and (b) the approximate area of new airfields in any Arab country, derived from T-KH, but for which there is no collateral support; (c) can major changes to existing airfields be indicated, such as a new runway?



Executive Secretary

0

Committee on Imagery Requirements and Exploitation

Copies 2, 3	State TCO
4	DIA TCO (Mr. Hughes)
5, 6, 7, 8	DIA TCO
9, 10	OACSI TCO
11, 12	ONI TCO
13, 14	AFNIN TCO
15, 16	NSA TCO
17, 18, 19	NRO TCO

WORLDWIDE CLASSIFICATION

~~TOP SECRET~~